

Program Specifications and Proofs

Syntax:

$$\begin{array}{l} \textit{spec} ::= [\textit{assert}] \textit{comm} [\textit{assert}] \\ \quad \quad \quad | \{ \textit{assert} \} \textit{comm} \{ \textit{assert} \} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{total correctness} \\ \text{partial correctness} \end{array}$$

Semantics:

$$\llbracket - \rrbracket_{\textit{spec}} \in \textit{spec} \rightarrow \mathbf{B} \quad s \text{ is } \text{valid} \text{ when } \llbracket s \rrbracket_{\textit{spec}} = \text{true}$$

$$\llbracket [p] c [q] \rrbracket_{\textit{spec}} = \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \llbracket p \rrbracket_{\textit{assert}} \sigma \Rightarrow \\ (\llbracket c \rrbracket_{\textit{comm}} \sigma \neq \perp \text{ and } \llbracket q \rrbracket_{\textit{assert}} (\llbracket c \rrbracket_{\textit{comm}} \sigma))$$

$$\llbracket \{p\} c \{q\} \rrbracket_{\textit{spec}} = \forall \sigma \in \Sigma. \llbracket p \rrbracket_{\textit{assert}} \sigma \Rightarrow \\ (\llbracket c \rrbracket_{\textit{comm}} \sigma = \perp \text{ or } \llbracket q \rrbracket_{\textit{assert}} (\llbracket c \rrbracket_{\textit{comm}} \sigma))$$

or, when \mathbf{B} is ordered by $\text{false} \sqsubseteq \text{true}$,

$$\begin{array}{l} \llbracket [p] c [q] \rrbracket_{\textit{spec}} = \llbracket p \rrbracket_{\textit{assert}} \sqsubseteq (\llbracket q \rrbracket_{\textit{assert}})_{\perp\perp} \cdot \llbracket c \rrbracket_{\textit{comm}} \\ \llbracket \{p\} c \{q\} \rrbracket_{\textit{spec}} = (\textit{not} \cdot \llbracket q \rrbracket_{\textit{assert}})_{\perp\perp} \cdot \llbracket c \rrbracket_{\textit{comm}} \sqsubseteq \textit{not} \cdot \llbracket p \rrbracket_{\textit{assert}} \end{array}$$

Properties of Program Specs

If $\llbracket [p] \ c \ [q] \rrbracket_{spec}$ and $\llbracket c \rrbracket_{comm} \sqsubseteq \llbracket c' \rrbracket_{comm}$, then $\llbracket [p] \ c' \ [q] \rrbracket_{spec}$.

If $\llbracket \{p\} \ c \ \{q\} \rrbracket_{spec}$ and $\llbracket c' \rrbracket_{comm} \sqsubseteq \llbracket c \rrbracket_{comm}$, then $\llbracket \{p\} \ c' \ \{q\} \rrbracket_{spec}$.

If $\llbracket \{p\} \ c_i \ \{q\} \rrbracket_{spec}$ for all c_i ,

and $\llbracket c_0 \rrbracket_{comm} \sqsubseteq \llbracket c_1 \rrbracket_{comm} \sqsubseteq \dots$,

and $\llbracket c \rrbracket_{comm} = \sqcup_i \llbracket c_i \rrbracket_{comm}$,

then $\llbracket \{p\} \ c \ \{q\} \rrbracket_{spec}$.

Examples of Program Specs

$\{x-y > 3\}$	$x := x-y$	$\{x > 2\}$	valid
$[x-y > 3]$	$x := x-y$	$[x > 2]$	valid
$\{x \leq 10\}$	while $x \neq 10$ do $x := x+1$	$\{x=10\}$	valid
$[x \leq 10]$	while $x \neq 10$ do $x := x+1$	$[x=10]$	valid
$\{\text{true}\}$	while $x \neq 10$ do $x := x+1$	$\{x=10\}$	valid
$[\text{true}]$	while $x \neq 10$ do $x := x+1$	$[x=10]$	invalid

Basic Inference Rules for Specifications

assignment (AS)
$$\frac{}{\llbracket p/v \rightarrow e \rrbracket \quad v := e \quad \llbracket p \rrbracket}$$

sequential composition (SQ)
$$\frac{\llbracket p \rrbracket \quad c_1 \quad \llbracket q \rrbracket \quad \llbracket q \rrbracket \quad c_2 \quad \llbracket r \rrbracket}{\llbracket p \rrbracket \quad c_1 ; c_2 \quad \llbracket r \rrbracket}$$

strengthening precedent (SP)
$$\frac{p \Rightarrow q \quad \llbracket q \rrbracket \quad s \quad \llbracket r \rrbracket}{\llbracket p \rrbracket \quad s \quad \llbracket r \rrbracket}$$

weakening consequent (WC)
$$\frac{\llbracket p \rrbracket \quad s \quad \llbracket q \rrbracket \quad q \Rightarrow r}{\llbracket p \rrbracket \quad s \quad \llbracket r \rrbracket}$$

(SP) and (WC) are **noncompositional** (not syntax-directed).

Soundness of the Assignment Rule

Recall the Substitution Theorem for predicate logic:

if $\llbracket \delta - \rrbracket \sigma' = \sigma$ (on $FV(p)$), then $\llbracket p/\delta \rrbracket \sigma' = \llbracket p \rrbracket \sigma$

Let σ' be a state satisfying $p/v \rightarrow e$: $\llbracket p/v \rightarrow e \rrbracket_{assert} \sigma' = \mathbf{true}$.

Let $\sigma = \llbracket v := e \rrbracket_{comm} \sigma' = [\sigma' | v : \llbracket e \rrbracket_{intexp} \sigma']$.

Let $\delta = (v \rightarrow e) = [cvar | v : e]$.

Then $\llbracket \delta v \rrbracket_{intexp} \sigma' = \llbracket e \rrbracket_{intexp} \sigma' = \sigma v$, and

$\llbracket \delta u \rrbracket_{intexp} \sigma' = \llbracket u \rrbracket_{intexp} \sigma' = \llbracket u \rrbracket_{intexp} \sigma$ for $u \neq v$.

By the Substitution Theorem, $\llbracket p/v \rightarrow e \rrbracket \sigma' = \llbracket p \rrbracket \sigma$.

Derived Rule for Multiple Sequential Composition

$$\begin{array}{c}
 (MSQ_n) \\
 \frac{
 \begin{array}{c}
 p_0 \Rightarrow q_0 \\
 \mathbb{E}q_0\mathbb{E} \ c_0 \ \mathbb{E}p_1\mathbb{E} \quad p_1 \Rightarrow q_1 \\
 \dots \\
 \mathbb{E}q_{n-1}\mathbb{E} \ c_{n-1} \ \mathbb{E}p_n\mathbb{E} \quad p_n \Rightarrow q_n
 \end{array}
 }{
 \mathbb{E}p_0\mathbb{E} \ c_0 ; \dots ; c_{n-1} \ \mathbb{E}q_n\mathbb{E}
 }
 \end{array}$$

Derivation of (MSQ_1) :

1. $p_0 \Rightarrow q_0$ assumption
2. $\mathbb{E}q_0\mathbb{E} \ c_0 \ \mathbb{E}p_1\mathbb{E}$ assumption
3. $\mathbb{E}p_0\mathbb{E} \ c_0 \ \mathbb{E}p_1\mathbb{E}$ SP (1, 2)
4. $p_1 \Rightarrow q_1$ assumption
5. $\mathbb{E}p_0\mathbb{E} \ c_0 \ \mathbb{E}q_1\mathbb{E}$ WC (3, 4)

(MSQ_n) derived from (MSQ_{n-1}) and (SQ) .

A Simple Derivation

Prove validity of

$$[y > 3] x := 2*y ; x := x-y [x \geq 4]$$

1. $y > 3 \Rightarrow (2*y) - y > 3$ (predicate logic)
2. $[(2*y) - y > 3] x := 2*y [x - y > 3]$ AS
3. $[x - y > 3] x := x - y [x > 3]$ AS
4. $x > 3 \Rightarrow x \geq 4$ (predicate logic)
5. $[y > 3] x := 2*y ; x := x - y [x \geq 4]$ MSQ₂ (1, 2, *, 3, 4)

Derived Rule for Repeated Assignment

Derived from MSQ_n :

$$RAS_n \frac{p \Rightarrow (\dots (q/v_{n-1} \rightarrow e_{n-1}) \dots /v_0 \rightarrow e_0)}{\llbracket p \rrbracket v_0 := e_0 ; \dots v_{n-1} := e_{n-1} \llbracket q \rrbracket}$$

The previous example can now be proved by

1. $y > 3 \Rightarrow (2*y) - y \geq 4$ (predicate logic)
2. $\llbracket y > 3 \rrbracket x := 2*y ; x := x - y \llbracket x \geq 4 \rrbracket$ RAS_2 (1)

Rule (RAS_n) is sound and **complete**:

if its conclusion is valid, its premiss is valid

Rules for the while Construct

Partial correctness of while:

$$(WHP) \frac{\{i \wedge b\} c \{i\}}{\{i\} \text{ while } b \text{ do } c \{i \wedge \neg b\}}$$

i is the **loop invariant**.

Total correctness of while:

$$(WHT) \frac{[i \wedge b \wedge (e = v_0)] c [i \wedge (e < v_0)] \quad i \wedge b \Rightarrow e \geq 0}{[i] \text{ while } b \text{ do } c [i \wedge \neg b]}$$

where $v_0 \notin FV(i) \cup FV(b) \cup FV(e) \cup FV(c)$.

Proof of Soundness for (WHP)

$$\text{(WHP)} \quad \frac{\{i \wedge b\} c \{i\}}{\{i\} \text{ while } b \text{ do } c \{i \wedge \neg b\}}$$

Recall the approximation to while b do c :

$$w_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{while true do skip} \\ \Rightarrow m_0 \sigma = \perp \quad (\text{where } m_i = \llbracket w_i \rrbracket_{\text{comm}})$$

$$w_{i+1} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{if } b \text{ then } (c ; w_i) \text{ else skip} \\ \Rightarrow m_{i+1} \sigma = \text{if } \llbracket b \rrbracket \sigma \text{ then } (m_i)_{\perp\perp} (\llbracket c \rrbracket \sigma) \text{ else } \sigma$$

Recall meaning of partial correctness specs:

$$\llbracket \{p\} c \{q\} \rrbracket_{\text{spec}} = (\text{not} \cdot \llbracket q \rrbracket_{\text{assert}})_{\perp\perp} \cdot \llbracket c \rrbracket_{\text{comm}} \sqsubseteq \text{not} \cdot \llbracket p \rrbracket_{\text{assert}}$$

Goal: prove that if $(\text{not} \cdot \llbracket i \rrbracket)_{\perp\perp} \cdot \llbracket c \rrbracket \sqsubseteq \text{not} \cdot \llbracket i \wedge b \rrbracket$

then $(\text{not} \cdot \llbracket i \wedge \neg b \rrbracket)_{\perp\perp} \cdot (\sqcup_i m_i) \sqsubseteq \text{not} \cdot \llbracket i \rrbracket$

Proof of Soundness for (WHP), cont'd

Goal: prove that if $(not \cdot \llbracket i \rrbracket)_{\perp\perp} \cdot \llbracket c \rrbracket \sqsubseteq not \cdot \llbracket i \wedge b \rrbracket$
then $(not \cdot \llbracket i \wedge \neg b \rrbracket)_{\perp\perp} \cdot (\sqcup_n m_n) \sqsubseteq not \cdot \llbracket i \rrbracket$

$(not \cdot \llbracket i \wedge \neg b \rrbracket)_{\perp\perp} \cdot (\sqcup_n m_n) \sqsubseteq not \cdot \llbracket i \rrbracket$
if $\sqcup_n ((not \cdot \llbracket i \wedge \neg b \rrbracket)_{\perp\perp} \cdot m_n) \sqsubseteq not \cdot \llbracket i \rrbracket$
if $\forall n. (not \cdot \llbracket i \wedge \neg b \rrbracket)_{\perp\perp} \cdot m_n \sqsubseteq not \cdot \llbracket i \rrbracket$

By induction on n : easy for $n = 0$; if true for n then for any σ

$$\begin{aligned} & (not \cdot \llbracket i \wedge \neg b \rrbracket)_{\perp\perp} (m_{n+1} \sigma) \\ &= \text{if } \llbracket b \rrbracket \sigma \text{ then } (not \cdot \llbracket i \wedge \neg b \rrbracket)_{\perp\perp} ((m_n)_{\perp\perp} (\llbracket c \rrbracket \sigma)) \\ & \quad \text{else } (not \cdot \llbracket i \wedge \neg b \rrbracket)_{\perp\perp} \sigma \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Soundness for (WHP), cont'd

Goal: prove that if

$$(not \cdot \llbracket i \rrbracket)_{\perp\perp} \cdot \llbracket c \rrbracket \sqsubseteq not \cdot \llbracket i \wedge b \rrbracket$$

and

$$(not \cdot \llbracket i \wedge \neg b \rrbracket)_{\perp\perp} \cdot m_n \sqsubseteq not \cdot \llbracket i \rrbracket$$

then

$$(not \cdot \llbracket i \wedge \neg b \rrbracket)_{\perp\perp} \cdot m_{n+1} \sqsubseteq not \cdot \llbracket i \rrbracket$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (not \cdot \llbracket i \wedge \neg b \rrbracket)_{\perp\perp} (m_{n+1} \sigma) \\ &= \text{if } \llbracket b \rrbracket \sigma \text{ then } (not \cdot \llbracket i \wedge \neg b \rrbracket)_{\perp\perp} ((m_n)_{\perp\perp} (\llbracket c \rrbracket \sigma)) \\ & \quad \text{else } (not \cdot \llbracket i \wedge \neg b \rrbracket)_{\perp\perp} \sigma \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (not \cdot \llbracket i \wedge \neg b \rrbracket)_{\perp\perp} ((m_n)_{\perp\perp} (\llbracket c \rrbracket \sigma)) &= ((not \cdot \llbracket i \wedge \neg b \rrbracket)_{\perp\perp} \cdot m_n)_{\perp\perp} (\llbracket c \rrbracket \sigma) \\ &\sqsubseteq (not \cdot \llbracket i \rrbracket)_{\perp\perp} (\llbracket c \rrbracket \sigma) \\ &\sqsubseteq (not \cdot \llbracket i \wedge b \rrbracket)_{\perp\perp} \sigma \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Soundness for (WHP), cont'd

Goal: prove that if

$$(not \cdot \llbracket i \rrbracket)_{\perp\perp} \cdot \llbracket c \rrbracket \sqsubseteq not \cdot \llbracket i \wedge b \rrbracket$$

and

$$(not \cdot \llbracket i \wedge \neg b \rrbracket)_{\perp\perp} \cdot m_n \sqsubseteq not \cdot \llbracket i \rrbracket$$

then

$$(not \cdot \llbracket i \wedge \neg b \rrbracket)_{\perp\perp} \cdot m_{n+1} \sqsubseteq not \cdot \llbracket i \rrbracket$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (not \cdot \llbracket i \wedge \neg b \rrbracket)_{\perp\perp} (m_{n+1} \sigma) \\ & \sqsubseteq \text{if } \llbracket b \rrbracket \sigma \text{ then } (not \cdot \llbracket i \wedge b \rrbracket)_{\perp\perp} \sigma \text{ else } (not \cdot \llbracket i \wedge \neg b \rrbracket)_{\perp\perp} \sigma \\ & = (not \cdot \llbracket i \rrbracket) \sigma \end{aligned}$$

QED

Soundness of (WHT)

$$\text{(WHT)} \frac{[i \wedge b \wedge (e = v_0)] \ c \ [i \wedge (e < v_0)] \quad i \wedge b \Rightarrow e \geq 0}{[i] \ \mathbf{while} \ b \ \mathbf{do} \ c \ [i \wedge \neg b]}$$

where the **ghost variable** v_0 is not in $FV(i) \cup FV(b) \cup FV(e) \cup FV(c)$.

Idea: e serves as a **loop counter** with initial value v_0 .

The first premiss: the counter is decreased by execution of c .

The second premiss: when the counter becomes negative,

b is false, and the loop terminates (invariant i is always satisfied).

Rules for the while Construct

Partial correctness of while:

$$(WHP) \frac{\{i \wedge b\} c \{i\}}{\{i\} \text{ while } b \text{ do } c \{i \wedge \neg b\}}$$

where i is the **loop invariant**.

Total correctness of while:

$$(WHT) \frac{[i \wedge b \wedge (e = v_0)] c [i \wedge (e < v_0)] \quad i \wedge b \Rightarrow e \geq 0}{[i] \text{ while } b \text{ do } c [i \wedge \neg b]}$$

where $v_0 \notin FV(i) \cup FV(b) \cup FV(e) \cup FV(c)$
 e is the **loop variant**.

More Compositional Rules

skip (SK)

$$\frac{}{\llbracket p \rrbracket \text{ skip } \llbracket p \rrbracket}$$

implication and skip (ISK)
(derived from (SK),(SP))

$$\frac{p \Rightarrow q}{\llbracket p \rrbracket \text{ skip } \llbracket q \rrbracket}$$

conditional (CD)

$$\frac{\llbracket p \wedge b \rrbracket c \llbracket q \rrbracket \quad \llbracket p \wedge \neg b \rrbracket c' \llbracket q \rrbracket}{\llbracket p \rrbracket \text{ if } b \text{ then } c \text{ else } c' \llbracket q \rrbracket}$$

variable declaration (DC')

$$\frac{\llbracket p \rrbracket v := e ; c \llbracket q \rrbracket}{\llbracket p \rrbracket \text{ newvar } v := e \text{ in } c \llbracket q \rrbracket} \quad v \notin FV(q)$$

More Non-Compositional Rules

renaming (RN)

$$\frac{\llbracket p \rrbracket c \llbracket q \rrbracket}{\llbracket p' \rrbracket c' \llbracket q' \rrbracket}$$

where p', c', q' are obtained by renaming
bound variables in p, c, q

conjunction (CA)

$$\frac{\llbracket p \rrbracket c \llbracket q \rrbracket \quad \llbracket p' \rrbracket c \llbracket q' \rrbracket}{\llbracket p \wedge p' \rrbracket c \llbracket q \wedge q' \rrbracket}$$

disjunction (DA)

$$\frac{\llbracket p \rrbracket c \llbracket q \rrbracket \quad \llbracket p' \rrbracket c \llbracket q' \rrbracket}{\llbracket p \vee p' \rrbracket c \llbracket q \vee q' \rrbracket}$$

constancy for partial
correctness (CSP)

$$\frac{}{\{p\} c \{p\}} \quad FV(p) \cap FA(c) = \{\}$$

constancy for total
correctness (CST)

$$\frac{[q] c [r]}{[p \wedge q] c [p \wedge r]} \quad FV(p) \cap FA(c) = \{\}$$

Specification of a Factorial Computation

$f := 1$; while $n > 0$ do ($f := f * n$; $n := n - 1$)

Specification of a Factorial Computation

$[n=m]$ $f := 1$; while $n > 0$ do $(f := f * n ; n := n - 1)$ $[n < 0 \vee f = m!]$

Proof: by (SP) and (DA) from

(G1) $[n < 0]$ $f := 1$; while $n > 0$ do $(f := f * n ; n := n - 1)$ $[n < 0]$

(G2) $[n = m \wedge n \geq 0]$ $f := 1$; while $n > 0$ do $(f := f * n ; n := n - 1)$ $[f = m!]$

Correctness of the Factorial Specification

To prove (G1):

- 1 $[n-1 < 0 \wedge n-1 < \text{count}] (f := f * n ; n := n-1) [n < 0 \wedge n < \text{count}]$ by (RAS₂)
- 2 $n < 0 \wedge n > 0 \wedge n = \text{count} \Rightarrow n-1 < 0 \wedge n-1 < \text{count}$
- 3 $[n < 0 \wedge n > 0 \wedge n = \text{count}] (f := f * n ; n := n-1) [n < 0 \wedge n < \text{count}]$ by (SP 1,2)
- 4 $n < 0 \wedge n > 0 \Rightarrow n \geq 0$
- 5 $[n < 0] \text{ while } n > 0 \text{ do } (f := f * n ; n := n-1) [n < 0 \wedge \neg(n > 0)]$ by (WHT 3,4)
- 6 $[n < 0] \text{ while } n > 0 \text{ do } (f := f * n ; n := n-1) [n < 0]$ by (WC 5)
- 7 $[n < 0] f := 1 [n < 0]$ by (AS)
- 8 $[n < 0] f := 1 ; \text{ while } n > 0 \text{ do } (f := f * n ; n := n-1) [n < 0]$ by (SQ 7,6)

Correctness of the Factorial Specification

To prove (G2):

- 1 $f=m!/n! \wedge n \geq 0 \wedge n>0 \wedge (n=cnt) \Rightarrow f*n=m!/(n-1)! \wedge n-1 \geq 0 \wedge (n-1<cnt)$
- 2 $[f=m!/n! \wedge n \geq 0 \wedge n>0 \wedge (n=cnt)] (f:=f*n ; n:=n-1) [f=m!/n! \wedge n \geq 0 \wedge (n<cnt)]$
(RAS₂ 1)
- 3 $f=m!/n! \wedge n \geq 0 \wedge n>0 \Rightarrow n \geq 0$
- 4 $[f=m!/n! \wedge n \geq 0] \text{ while } n>0 \text{ do } (f:=f*n ; n:=n-1) [f=m!/n! \wedge n \geq 0 \wedge \neg(n>0)]$
(WHT 2,3)
- 5 $f=1 \wedge n=m \wedge n \geq 0 \Rightarrow f=m!/n! \wedge n \geq 0$
- 6 $f=m!/n! \wedge n \geq 0 \wedge \neg(n>0) \Rightarrow f = m!$
- 7 $[f=1 \wedge n=m \wedge n \geq 0] \text{ while } n>0 \text{ do } (f:=f*n ; n:=n-1) [f = m!]$
(SP,WC 5,4,6)
- 8 $[n=m \wedge n \geq 0] f:=1 [f=1 \wedge n=m \wedge n \geq 0]$
(AS)
- 9 $[n=m \wedge n \geq 0] f:=1 ; \text{ while } n>0 \text{ do } (f:=f*n ; n:=n-1) [f = m!]$
(SQ 8,7)